

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—I rise to a point of order. The first question is about the reasons for appointing Government Pleaders to the High Court of Mysore in addition to three Advocates General. In the answer there is no denial about Advocates General. So, I want to know whether there are three Advocates General or whether there are also Assistant Advocates General. There is not a word about Assistant Advocate General.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—There are three Advocates General, all of them not termed as Advocates General. One is Assistant Advocate General, another is Additional Advocate General and the third is the Advocate General.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Was there no competitive examination held?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—No.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Was there no candidate from among the Scheduled Castes for the post of Government Pleader?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am not aware whether there was anyone available from the Scheduled Castes at that moment?

Sri B. RACHIAH.—How many applications were there from the Scheduled Castes?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—There were some, but I do not know the exact number.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the difference between the Advocate General and the Government Pleaders? I want to know the kind of work that the Advocate General is doing because it is stated here that he is drawing a pay of Rs. 1,000.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question can be answered only by the Advocate General. The duties of the Advocate General and the Government Pleaders have been defined and according to those duties the work has been assigned to them. How can the Government reply to that question?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister has been pleased to state that certain work has been entrusted to Sri Santhosh and that is why he is paid Rs. 700. May I know the kind of

work entrusted to the other four Government Pleaders?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—It is not only on that consideration that his pay was fixed at Rs. 700. It was because of his experience and standing in the bar.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Is there any likelihood of increasing this number?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Not in the near future.

### Enforcement of Tenancy Act in Shimoga District.

Q.—3467. Sri D. MOOKAPPA (Sagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of years that have elapsed since the enforcement of the Tenancy Act in Shimoga District;

(b) whether the objects of the said Act have been notified to village officers and cultivating tenants;

(c) whether the village officers have also been directed to keep records with regard to the cultivating tenants?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) The Mysore Tenancy Act was enforced in Sagar, Hosanagar and Thirthahally taluks on 1st August 1952 and in the remaining taluks of Shimoga District on 1st January 1954.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಮೂಕಪ್ಪ.—(ಬಿ) ಮತ್ತು (ಸಿ) ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 'ಹೌದು' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಗೇಣಿ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಒಟ್ಟು ಬೆಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲುಭಾಗಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇರಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಿಗದಿಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಮೂಕಪ್ಪ.—ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಆಯಾಯ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಆ ರೆಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ರೆಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ನೋಟಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಇಷ್ಟು ದವಸವನ್ನು ಗೇಣಿ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಿಧಾಯಕ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾಲುಭಾಗ ಅಂತ ಒಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಧಾಯಕ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಮೂಕಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾಲುಭಾಗ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವುದು ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅವರು ಆ ಅನುಕೂಲವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕದಿದಾಳ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ತಳವಳಿಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Are there courts in Shimoga to fix rents ?

Sri Kadidal, MANJAPPA.—Yes, there are tenancy courts.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಮೂಕಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಗೇಣಿ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಬಾಬಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಹಿಂದಿನ ಮಾಮೂಲು ಗೇಣಿ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾತುತ್ವ ಇರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕದಿದಾಳ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ನಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಗೇಣಿದಾರರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಿರಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳದಿದ್ದಾಗ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿರಬಹುದು.

#### Construction of Sub-Jail at Bhalki.

Q.—3635. Sri MADHAV RAO (Hulsur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware, that there is no sub-jail at Bhalki taluk for keeping the under-trial prisoners ;

(b) whether they propose to construct one and if so, when ;

(c) the amount spent for bringing and taking back the undertrial prisoners from Bidar to Bhalki on every date of hearing since last one year ?

A.—Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA (Deputy Minister for Home).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The matter is under the consideration of Government.

(c) Rs. 1908-02 from 1st July 1957 to 30th June 1958.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Supplementary (c):—Does it include T. A. and D.A.

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—It does not include them.

Sri S. D. GAONKAR.—Since how long the practice of bringing and taking back the undertrial prisoners from Bidar to Bhalki is going on ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—Till this day.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Have the plans and estimates been submitted in this regard ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—Yes.

L.A.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—What is the estimated amount ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—Rs. 1,56,000.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What is the amount that is likely to be spent for police escort out of this amount ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—It comes under regular establishment.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—With reference to the answer to (b) may I know whether the construction of sub-jail is under consideration or the time when it is to be started is under consideration ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—The matter in regard to construction of the jail is under consideration.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it difficult to have accommodation in that very place as an interim measure ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—It is difficult to have accommodation, particularly in that town. We made honest attempts to get accommodation there but we have not been able to get it.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—With reference to the answer to (c) may I know what other items it includes ?

Sri B. BASAVALINGAPPA.—It includes only the expenditure relating to prisoners.

#### Grants to the Taluk and Petha Development Boards.

Q.—3772. Sri C. S. HULKOTI (Mundargi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware of the policy of the former Bombay Government to pay grants to the taluk and petha Development Boards registered under the Bombay Co-operative Societies Act ;

(b) whether that police is being continued by this Government ;

(c) if not, the reasons for discontinuing ;

(d) whether they are aware that some of the Development Boards in Bombay Karnatak area have not been paid grants in 1953-57 and 1957-58 ;